

**IN THE UNITED STATES
PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

Patent Application

Inventors: Christopher Charles McCormick et al.

Serial No.: 09/668688

Conf. No.: 4264

Filing Date: 9/23/2000

Art Unit: 1797

Examiner: P. Kaythrn Wright

Docket No.: 570-001US

Title: A Data Processing System For Providing An Efficient Market For Specialty Chemicals

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

RESPONSE TO NOTIFICATION OF NON-COMPLIANT APPEAL BRIEF

The Office issued a *Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief* on March 13, 2008. According to the *Notification*, appellant's brief does not identify the status of all claims filed in the application.

Responsive to the *Notification*, applicant hereby submits a replacement "Status of the Claims" section.

Respectfully,
Christopher Charles McCormick et al.

By **/Wayne S Breyer/**

Wayne S. Breyer
Reg. No. 38089
Attorney for Applicants
732-578-0103 x12

DeMont & Breyer, L.L.C.
Suite 250
100 Commons Way
Holmdel, NJ 07733
United States of America

(3) *Status of the Claims*

Claims 1-19, 21, and 33 have been canceled and claims 20, 22-32, 34-40 are pending.

Each of the pending claims stand rejected. All of the rejected claims are being appealed.

It is notable that *substantive* arguments for patentability are presented for all pending independent claims (claims 20, 31, and 37) as well as some of the dependent claims (claims 25, 35, and 38). The patentability of dependent claims 22-24, 26-30, 32, 34, 36, and 39-40 will not be separately argued except to note that they are allowable based on their dependency on an allowable base claim.